

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/891,204	06/26/2001	Naoyuki Fujisawa	1538.1015	9335	
21171 75	90 09/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			LASTRA, DANIEL		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3622	3622	
•			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/891,204	FUJISAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DANIEL LASTRA	3622		

•	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
		DANIEL LASTRA	3622	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 21 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
_	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
have under set fo may r NOT	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex r 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sirth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
	NDMENTS			
3. 🔼	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
	appeal; and/or			ille issues ioi
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🛛	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ∐	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10.	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		· serialiser for allowar	ioo booduoo.
	Other:	(F 10/36/06) Paper NO(S)		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The new issues raise are "processing the receive packet data that may include the particulat packet data, charging said destination user for said received packet data, wherein said charging said destination user is carried out without judging whether or not said received packet data includes the registered particular packet"

PAQUEL ALVAREZ
PRIMARY EXAMINED